

# Licensing Committee

Thursday, 12th November, 2015

## MEETING OF LICENSING COMMITTEE

Members present: Councillor Hussey (Chairperson);  
Alderman L. Patterson; and  
Councillors Attwood, Bell, Brown, Bunting,  
Campbell, Carroll, Craig, Dudgeon, Groves,  
Hutchinson, Jones, Magennis, McConville,  
Mullan and Sandford.

In attendance: Mr. T. Martin, Head of Building Control;  
Ms. N. Largey, Divisional Solicitor; and  
Mr. H. Downey, Democratic Services Officer.

### Apologies

Apologies were reported on behalf of the Deputy Lord Mayor (Alderman Spence) and Councillor Clarke.

### Minutes

The minutes of the meeting of 21st October were taken as read and signed as correct. It was reported that those minutes had been adopted by the Council at its meeting on 2nd November, subject to the omission of those matters in respect of which the Council had delegated its powers to the Committee.

### Declarations of Interest

No declarations of interest were reported.

### Non-Delegated Matters

#### PSNI Policy on Charging for Policing Services

The Committee was reminded that, at its meeting on 16th September, it had agreed that Superintendent N. Goddard be invited to attend a future meeting in order to discuss a number of concerns arising from a policy which had, on 1st April, been implemented by the Police Service of Northern Ireland which permitted it to charge for special police services.

Superintendent Goddard informed the Committee that the policy, which would apply across Northern Ireland, had been formulated in response to a review which had been commissioned by the Chief Constable around the consistent and equitable application of charges for the provision of special police services for events and was in line with the existing Association of Chief Police Officers' Guidelines. The policy set out a number of categories of events for which charges would be applied, together with a

matrix to assist organisers in assessing the potential cost, if any, associated with the policing of their events. It provided also a process for assisting the Police Service and event organisers in resolving disputes around policing costs and made provision for the abatement of charges.

In response to a number of questions from the Members, Superintendent Goddard confirmed that:

- the policy applied primarily to large-scale private commercial events where either an organiser had requested policing or, in the opinion of the Police Service, policing was required due to public safety concerns. The Assistant Chief Constable would act as an arbiter in any dispute arising from the application of the policy.
- events, including those facilitated by the Council, such as the Christmas lights switch-on, which took place within the public realm, were part of the Service's normal policing responsibilities, therefore, no costs would be attributable to the organisers;
- the Police Service could lodge with the relevant council an objection to the grant of an Entertainments Licence or request its suspension if it was of the view that an event would, without an appropriate level of policing, pose a safety threat for those attending. It was his understanding that, should a council either refuse or suspend a Licence on the basis of evidence provided by the Police Service, it would be liable for damages and costs arising from any legal action taken by the organiser against that decision. However, in such circumstances, discussions would take place between that council and the Police Service around the proportion of liability;
- the majority of sporting events, including local and international soccer matches, were regarded as being non-contentious and, since there was no requirement for policing within a ground, no costs would be incurred by the club or governing body. However, those fixtures which, by prior agreement, were regarded as having the potential to create public order issues and which necessitated policing within the stadium would, under the policy, incur a charge; and
- the policy was being reviewed currently in light of issues which had been raised by, for example, the Council around the dispute resolution element.

Superintendent Goddard thanked the Committee for receiving him and he retired from the meeting.

The Committee noted the information which had been provided.

**Entertainments Licensing - Public and Private Land**

The Committee considered the undernoted report:

**“1.0 Summary of Main Issues**

- 1.1 The Committee will recall that, at its meeting on 16th September, it considered an application from Mr. Brian McGeown of Aether and Echo, Lower Garfield Street, for the grant of a Temporary Street Trading Licence to enable him to apply to the Petty Sessions Court for an Occasional Liquor Licence. The licence facilitated the operation of a bar facility outside the premises for an outdoor musical event, on land owned by the Department of Regional Development (‘DRD’) and thus regarded as being public land.
- 1.2 DRD had given its consent, under Article 72 of the Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993, for the use of the land by Aether and Echo. This consent was given to accommodate the erection of scaffolding, hoardings, etc on a road which allowed the applicant possession of a portion of that road for a short period of time to provide an outdoor musical event.
- 1.3 At the September meeting, the Committee requested that a report be submitted to a future meeting outlining the criteria for determining if an Entertainments Licence was required for an event taking place on public land.

**2.0 Recommendation**

- 2.1 The Committee is requested to consider the report and agree that:
- with effect from 1st January 2016, where an outdoor musical event is proposed on land in respect of which the DRD has, pursuant to Article 72 of the Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993, issued a Consent, the organiser must apply for an Outdoor Entertainments Licence.

**3.0 Main report**

**Key Issues**

- 3.1 Consent to erect scaffolding, hoardings etc on a road is granted by virtue of Article 72 of The Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993.

**3.2 When making application for consent, the applicant is required to provide the following information to undertake the above operations on a public road:**

- a description of the works
- the location of the works
- the name and permanent address of the applicant
- a drawing showing the nature of the work (where appropriate), and
- details of the appropriate planning approval including drawings if relevant.

**3.3 The applicant shall also undertake to do the following:**

- indemnify the Department against any claims in respect of injury, loss or damage arising from the works.
- make such monetary deposit as the Department shall assess against the proper undertaking and satisfactory completion of the works. An estimate of the deposit likely to be required can be obtained by applying the rates contained on the attached leaflet.
- a Consent and a receipt for the deposit will be issued by the Section Office together with a Permanent Restoration Notice. Where necessary, a specification showing the standard for the work will be included.
- the deposit is returned to the applicant when the Restoration Notice has been received by the Department and no damage has been done to the road or if this damage is covered under an Article 78 consent.
  - if the road has been damaged the deposit will be withheld until the damage has been repaired to the satisfaction of the Department
  - if a reinstatement has been made, the deposit will not be returned until six months has elapsed from receipt of the Restoration Notice and the work is completed to the satisfaction of the Department and in accordance with the current specification for reinstatement.
- the applicant shall be responsible for traffic safety and control in accordance with Chapter 8 of the Traffic Signs Manual during the execution of the works.

- any person aggrieved by the refusal of the Department to give its consent under Article 72 (2) of the Roads (NI) Order 1993 or any condition subject to which any such consent is given, may, within 21 days of receiving notice thereof, appeal to the Planning Appeals Commission by notice in writing under Article 84 of the Roads (NI) Order 1993.
- in the instant case, the applicant must ensure that the public have access to walk through Lower Garfield Street and that there is a 2 metre pedestrian access provided.

3.4 Licensing of Places of Entertainment is governed by Schedule 1, Article 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1985.

3.5 Paragraph 2 of Schedule 1, Article 3 states:

‘Licensing of Outdoor Musical Entertainments’

- (1) An entertainment to which this paragraph applies shall not be provided at any place except under and in accordance with the terms of a licence granted under paragraph 3, by the council for the district in which the place is situated.
- (2) This paragraph applies to any public musical entertainment which is held-
  - (a) wholly or mainly in the open air; and
  - (b) at a place on private land.
- (3) For the purposes of this paragraph-
  - (a) an entertainment is musical if music or singing is a substantial ingredient; and
  - (b) land is private if the public has access to it (whether on payment or otherwise) only by permission of the owner, occupier or lessee.
- (4) This paragraph does not apply-
  - (a) to a garden fete, bazaar, sale of work, sporting or athletic event, exhibition, display or other function

(b) or event of a similar character, whether limited to one day or extending over two or more days; or

(c) to a religious meeting or service, merely because music or singing is incidental to it.'

3.6 The 1985 Order does not define 'private land' over and above the definition provided at paragraph 3(b).

Legal Opinion

3.7 Paragraph 2(3)(b) of Schedule 1, Article 3 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1985 provides that 'land is private if the public has access to it (whether on payment or otherwise) only by permission of the owner, occupier or lessee.'

3.8 It could be construed that if a business owns land, or has obtained permission from the owner, (in this case the Transport NI who owns the land) to effectively occupy the land, then it may fall under the definition of 'private land.'

3.9 Conversely, if the land is considered public land, and the applicant did not obtain the consent from the owner of the land (in this case the Transport NI) , it would be considered public land in respect of which the Council would have no control under the 1985 Order.

3.10 Roads are maintained by Transport NI by virtue of The Roads (Northern Ireland) Order 1993. Under Article 2 of said Order, the reference to 'a road' means a public road, which is maintainable by the Department and includes:

- a road which the public have a right of way on foot only, not being a footway;
- any part of a road; and
- any bridge or tunnel over or through which a road passes.

3.11 Article 71 of the Order prohibits the erection of any building, wall or fence on a road otherwise than in the exercise of a statutory power; in pursuance of a consent given by the Department under the 1993 Order; or in pursuance of a Street Trading Licence.

3.12 We have also examined the circumstances in which the Department could issue a Consent under the 1993 Order.

These appear to be primarily in relation to carrying out works on or under the road such as excavation, works to drains or the erection of shelters by a district council by way of a request under Article 72 of the said Order.

- 3.13 Whilst it is clear that the provision of entertainment at such a location is not pursuant to a statutory power, the Department has consented to such requests by the applicant, to use the land, by virtue of the grant of an Article 72 Consent. The Department is thereby giving its permission to the applicant to occupy the land for a specified period of time subject to certain conditions. In addition to this, the applicant erected barriers around that land, thereby controlling the entry of patrons onto it. The land, for that period of time, therefore falls under the definition of 'private land' for the purposes of Paragraph 2 (3)(b) of Schedule 1, Article 3 of the 1985 Order .
- 3.14 As set out above, granting a Consent does not transfer ownership. It is permission to occupy land for a specified period of time. The Department would have to pass legislation in order to change the classification of a road from public to private. Therefore, the grant of a Consent does not make the land private for the purposes of all legislation, nor does it make it private land on a permanent basis.
- 3.15 Furthermore, a Temporary Street Trading Licence does not appear to be the long term solution as the legislation only permits a maximum of five Temporary Licences per year.
- 3.16 In respect of Aether and Echo, the Department has confirmed that the public must have access across the land at Lower Garfield Street and stipulates that a 2 metre pedestrian access be provided in this area. Further, the Department advised that this permission is given to Aether and Echo, as Lower Garfield Street is considered to be a dead end street and advised that an Article 72 consent would not be granted for a street which is considered to be a 'live' street. It was also noted that no other publicans have lodged an Article 72 application to the Department.
- 3.17 We consider that, after discussing the matter further with Transport NI, the matter is better addressed under Article 2, Schedule 1 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1985 and the definition provided at paragraph 3(b), regarding the land becoming private if the public has access to it (whether on payment or otherwise) only by permission of the owner, occupier or lessee.

- 3.18 An Entertainments Licence can, therefore, be issued by the Council as the land falls within the definition of 'outdoor musical events.'

Consulting Agencies

Transport NI

- 3.19 The Department has stated that it has no objection or concerns with an Entertainments Licence being granted to permit events to be held on public land. However, it has requested to be involved in the development of the administrative process and procedure, if Members are of the mind to grant approval for the Service to pursue and administer Entertainments Licences for such events being held on public land.

PSNI

- 3.20 The PSNI has been consulted and has confirmed that it has no issues with an Entertainments Licence being granted for events on public land and would welcome such a decision as it would ensure consistency of approach regarding public safety, etc in the same way as the Council does for other events, such as Belsonic and Tennents Vital.

NIFRS

- 3.21 As a statutory consultee for all Entertainment Licence applications, the NIFRS has also been consulted and it too has no concerns with an Entertainments Licence being granted for such occasions

Financial and Resource Implications

- 3.22 The requirement to apply for an Entertainments Licence on public land is likely to increase revenue for the Council.
- 3.23 However, we consider that there is also added value in that these events will be administered, managed, coordinated and regulated with all parties in the same vein as the regular events which take place currently under the auspice of an Entertainments Licence; thereby creating consistency of approach and ensuring public safety.
- 3.24 Officers carry out during performance inspections on premises providing entertainment but this is catered for within existing budgets.



**Equality or Good Relations Implications**

**3.25 There are no equality or good relations issues associated with this report.”**

After discussion, the Committee adopted the recommendation and noted that the Building Control Service would be writing to all licensees across the City to advise them of the new requirement.

**Provision of Legal Training for the Licensing Committee**

The Divisional Solicitor reminded the Committee that it had delegated authority for determining applications for Amusement Permits, Entertainments Licences, sex establishments and street trading and for commenting on those relating to Liquor Licences and the registration of clubs. It was responsible also for the consideration of all policies and legislation governing licensing issues.

She explained that the Planning Committee, which fulfilled a similar function to the Licensing Committee, had received from a member of the legal profession tailored training around specific topics, which it had found it to be beneficial in terms of discharging its responsibilities. She pointed out that the Chairperson of the Licensing Committee had recently invited Members to identify any topics which they would wish to be incorporated into a similar training programme, which would again be delivered by members of the legal profession, in conjunction with the Legal Services Section.

During discussion, it was suggested that the programme should include training around the Judicial Review process, the various licensing policies and protocols, the Nolan Principles governing standards in public life, ownership of premises and the role of the Licensing Committee in relation to the broader licensing regime in Northern Ireland.

The Committee noted that a report would be submitted to a future meeting providing details on a proposed training programme based around those topics and any others which Members might identify in the meantime.

**THE COMMITTEE DEALT WITH THE FOLLOWING ITEMS IN PURSUANCE OF THE  
POWERS DELEGATED TO IT UNDER STANDING ORDER 37(d)**

**Licences issued under Delegated Authority**

The Committee noted a list of licensing applications which had been granted under the Council's Scheme of Delegation.

**Applications for Grant/Renewal of Entertainments  
Licences with Previous Convictions**

**Ardoyne Working Men's Club, 9b Kerrera Street  
The Cuckoo, 149 Lisburn Road**

The Head of Building Control reminded the Committee that, under the terms of the Local Government Miscellaneous Provisions (Northern Ireland) Order 1985, it was required, when considering any application for the grant, renewal or transfer of an Entertainments Licence, to have regard to any convictions of the applicant relating to an offence under the Order which had occurred within a five-year period immediately preceding the date on which the application had been made.

He drew the Members' attention to applications which had been received for the grant and for the renewal of Seven-day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licences in respect of the Ardoyne Working Men's Club and The Cuckoo respectively. He confirmed that a representative of the Ardoyne Working Men's Club had, on 28th July, been convicted at the Belfast Magistrates Court of providing entertainment prior to having obtained an Entertainments Licence and been fined £200 and ordered to pay £66 in costs.

In terms of The Cuckoo, he explained that the licensee had, on 26th March, 2013, been fined £400 and instructed to pay costs of £69 as a result of an inspection of the venue by officers of the Building Control Service whilst entertainment had been taking place. That had revealed that one of the exits had been blocked and that the log book, which was used to record the necessary safety checks, had not been completed. The Committee, at its meetings on 18th September, 2013 and 15th April, 2015, having been informed of the conviction, had agreed to renew the Entertainments Licence.

The Head of Building Control explained that, following those offences, officers had met with the person responsible for each premises in order to review their management procedures and were now satisfied that they were being operated in accordance with the Entertainments Licensing legislation. He added that no written representations had been received in relation to the applications and the Police Service of Northern Ireland had offered no objection.

The Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to:

- (i) grant a Seven-day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licence in respect of the Ardoyne Working Men's Club, 9b Kerrera Street; and
- (ii) renew a Seven-day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licence in respect of The Cuckoo, 149 Lisburn Road.

**Application for the Grant of a Seven-day Annual Entertainments Licence –  
Beckett's Bar, 241 Stewartstown Road**

The Committee was advised that an application had been received for the grant of a Seven-day Annual Indoor Entertainments Licence in respect of Beckett's Bar,

based upon the Council's standard condition to provide music, singing, dancing or any other entertainment of a like kind. The Head of Building Control reported that, whilst no public representation had been received in relation to the application, the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service, one of the statutory consultees, had submitted an objection, on the basis of fire safety concerns which had been identified in December, 2014 by the former Lisburn City Council and in July and October, 2015 by the Council and which were deemed to pose a serious risk to public safety. The Council's Legal Services Section was currently giving consideration to initiating legal proceedings against the applicant.

He reported further that, on 10th November, the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service had undertaken an inspection of Beckett's Bar which had confirmed that the licensee was not complying fully with the Fire and Rescue Services (Northern Ireland) Order 2006. The Service had, subsequently, issued a Notification of Deficiencies requiring the licensee to undertake urgent action to ensure that fire safety issues were managed effectively and kept under constant review and had advised the Council that, since the contraventions detected did not provide sufficient grounds upon which to sustain its objection to the application, it wished to withdraw that objection.

After discussion, the Committee agreed, in view of the concerns which had been raised by the Head of Building Control around public safety and by the Divisional Solicitor in terms of the management of other premises operated by the applicant's family, to consider the application at its next monthly meeting and that the Northern Ireland Fire and Rescue Service and the applicant be invited to attend.

**Competing Applications for the Grant of a Stationary Street Trading Licence –  
Boucher Road**

The Head of Building Control reminded the Committee that, at its meeting on 16th September, it had considered two separate applications for the grant of a Stationary Street Trading Licence for a vacant designated site in Boucher Crescent. The Committee had agreed that it was minded to grant the Licence to Mr. C. Hamill, who had availed of the opportunity to address the meeting, thereby permitting him to sell hot food and non-alcoholic beverages from Monday to Saturday between the hours of 7.00 a.m. and 4.00 p.m., at the site in Boucher Crescent which had been designated previously for the sale of those commodities. That decision had been taken on the basis that Mr. Hamill's business was registered currently by the Council and held a 5\* food hygiene rating and that it could continue to operate only through the acquisition of an alternative site, subject to:

- (i) the applicant providing all necessary documentation; and
- (ii) the receipt of the appropriate licensing fees.

As a consequence of that decision, the Committee had agreed that it was minded to refuse the application which had been submitted by the other applicant, namely, Ms. K. Finn, on the grounds set out in Sections 9(1)(a)(i) and (iv) of the Street Trading Act (Northern Ireland) 2001, that the location at which she wished to operate was unsuitable and that there were sufficient traders trading at that location in the articles, things or services in which she wished to trade.

The Head of Building Control reported that Ms. Finn had been advised that, as required under Section 12(2)(b) of the Street Trading Act (Northern Ireland) 2001, she would be permitted to make written representation to the Council within twenty-one days of being notified of the Committee's decision. However, no representation had been received from her during that time.

Accordingly, the Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to affirm its decision of 16th September to grant the Stationary Street Trading Licence to Mr. C. Hamill, subject to his compliance with the conditions relating to the provision of the required documentation and the payment of the Licence fee, and agreed also to refuse the application which had been submitted by Ms. Finn.

#### **Application for the Renewal of a Mobile Street Trading Licence**

The Committee was reminded that, at its meeting on 19th February, 2014, it had considered an application from Mr. K. McShane to vary his Mobile Street Trading Licence to include the Falls area of the City. The Head of Building Control explained that the application had given rise to objections from a number of other vendors who had stated that there were sufficient traders within that area offering the goods which Mr. McShane was proposing to sell. However, on the basis of work which had been undertaken by Council officers to address both that assertion and the submission from the applicant's legal representative, the Committee had agreed to grant the variation and to review the arrangement upon the expiry of the Licence in November, 2015.

The Head of Building Control reported that Mr. McShane had now submitted an application for the renewal of his Mobile Street Trading Licence and had stated that he no longer wished to trade on a Sunday. He pointed out that, since the variation had been granted, the applicant had not contravened the Street Trading legislation and that Council officers had received no comments from either the original objectors or local residents regarding the impact of his trade within the Falls or adjoining areas.

Accordingly, the Committee agreed, in its capacity as Licensing Authority, to renew the Mobile Street Trading Licence held by Mr. K. McShane, permitting him to sell confectionery, ice cream and non-alcoholic beverages from Monday to Saturday between the hours of 2.00 p.m. and 10.30 p.m. in the Andersonstown, Falls, Finaghy, Ladybrook, Suffolk and Turf Lodge areas of the City.

Chairperson